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#### Abstract

The article focuses on methods to confirm the smoothness of some cubic hypersurfaces that are closely related to the set partition problem.


Let us recall the set partition problem [1]. Given a multiset of positive integers $\left\{\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$. Can it be partitioned into two subsets with equal sums of elements? Points with coordinates $\pm 1$ are called ( $-1,1$ )-points. The problem is to recognize whether a $(-1,1)$-point belongs to the hyperplane given by the linear equation $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} x_{n}=0$. It is hard to find a $(-1,1)$-point belonging to the hyperplane in high dimensions [2]. On the other hand, one can find $(-1,1)$-points belonging to the hyperplane given by a linear function with integer coefficients near zero, using dynamic programming [1].

Let us consider an affine hypersurface $\mathcal{F}$ that is the vanishing locus of a square-free polynomial $f$. A straight line passing through the selected point $U \in \mathcal{F}$ is the set of points with coordinates $\left(\left(x_{1}-u_{1}\right) t+u_{1}, \ldots,\left(x_{n}-u_{n}\right) t+u_{n}\right)$, where $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ are coordinates at $U$, and $t$ is a parameter. Let us denote by $r(t)$ a univariate polynomial that is the restriction of the polynomial $f$ to the line, and by $B[f, U]$ the discriminant of $r(t) / t$. Since $r(0)=0, r(t) / t$ is a polynomial of degree at most $d-1$, where $d=\operatorname{deg} f$. If $\operatorname{deg} r(t)<d-1$, then we use the formula for degree $d-1$ by means of substitution the zero as the leading coefficient. If the point $U$ is smooth, then $B[f, U]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ defines a cone.

Let us denote by $\mathbb{K}$ a finite extension of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. Any smooth cubic curve is not unirational. In accordance with [3], for each cubic surface as well as high dimensional hypersurface $\mathcal{X}$ defined over $\mathbb{K}$, if $\mathcal{X}$ is irreducible, $\mathcal{X}$ is not a cone, and $\mathcal{X}$ contains a $\mathbb{K}$-point, then $\mathcal{X}$ is unirational over $\mathbb{K}$. That is, we have not only a lot of $\mathbb{K}$-points but also a rational map from the set of $\mathbb{Q}$-points of the affine space to the set of $\mathbb{K}$-points of $\mathcal{X}$. The explicit parameterizations of the Clebsch diagonal surface as well as the Fermat cubic surface are exemplified in [4]. Both surfaces are rational over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let us denote $f=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}^{3}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} x_{n}^{3}$ and $h=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} x_{n}$, where all coefficients $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are nonzero. $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the affine cubic hypersurface given
by the equation $f=0$ as well as $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the hyperplane given by $h=0$. The following theorem improves the result from [5] in case of cubic hypersurfaces.
Theorem 1. Given a multiset of positive integers $\left\{\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between singular points of the hyperplane section $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $(-1,1)$-points belonging to the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. If both polynomials $f$ and $h$ vanish simultaneously at a ( $-1,1$ )-point, then the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ is tangent to the hypersurface $\mathcal{F}$ at this point. Thus, the hyperplane section is singular. Contrariwise, at a singular point of the section, the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ coincides with the tangent hyperplane to the hypersurface $\mathcal{F}$. Since all the coefficients $\alpha_{k}$ are nonzero, both gradients $\nabla f$ and $\nabla h$ can be collinear only at the points whose coordinates satisfy the system of the equations $x_{k}^{2}=x_{j}^{2}$ for all indices $k$ and $j$. All the points are ( $-1,1$ )-points.

The polynomial $B[f, U]$ is equal to the discriminant of a univariate polynomial $a t^{2}+b t+c$. That is, $B[f, U]=b^{2}-4 a c$, where the coefficients are sums of univariate polynomials $a=a_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+a_{n}\left(x_{n}\right), b=b_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+b_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$, and $c=c_{0}+c_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n}$. Each monomial from $B[f, U]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is dependent on at most two variables.

Let us consider the factor ring $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left\langle x_{1}^{2}-1, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}-1\right\rangle$. It is referred to as the set of multilinear polynomials. In this way, we have a surjective map $\varphi$ from the set of all polynomials onto the set of multilinear polynomials.

Let us denote by $M[f, U]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ a multilinear polynomial that is an image of the restriction to the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ of the multilinear polynomial $\varphi(B[f, U])$. The restriction to the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ means that we substitute $x_{n}=$ $-\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right) / \alpha_{n}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}$ a linear space spanned by all multilinear polynomials $M[f, U]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$, where $U \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$.

A polynomial vanishes at a $(-1,1)$-point if and only if its multilinear image vanishes at this point. Thus, if the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ contains a $(-1,1)$-point, then all multilinear polynomials from $\mathcal{L}$ vanish at the point. Contrariwise, if a nonzero constant belongs to the linear space $\mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ does not contain any ( $-1,1$ )-point. In the case, $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ is smooth.

In case $n=2$, let us consider values $\alpha_{0}=1, \alpha_{1}=3$, and $\alpha_{2}=2$. The intersection $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ consist of two points $U(-1,1)$ and $V\left(\frac{1}{5},-\frac{4}{5}\right)$. The multilinear polynomial $\varphi(B[f, U])=-72 x_{2} x_{1}-48 x_{2}-144 x_{1}-168$. The substitution $x_{2}=$ $-\frac{3 x_{1}+1}{2}$ yields a univariate polynomial $108 x_{1}^{2}-36 x_{1}-144$. Its multilinear image $M[f, U]=-36 x_{1}-36$. At the second point $V$ the multilinear polynomial

$$
M[f, V]=\frac{26172}{3125} x_{1}+\frac{428292}{15625} .
$$

Two polynomials $M[f, U]$ and $M[f, V]$ together span the whole linear space of univariate linear polynomials. The same holds for almost all values $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ because $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}$ is a lower semi-continuous function.

Contrariwise, if $n=2$ and $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=1$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}=1$. The intersection $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ consist of two points $U(0,-1)$ and $V(-1,0)$. The third point does
not belong to the affine plane. So, $B[f, U]=-12 x_{1} x_{2}-24 x_{2}-12 x_{1}-24$; the multilinear polynomial $M[f, U]=24 x_{1}+12$. On the other hand, at the point $V$ the polynomial $B[f, V]=-12 x_{1} x_{2}-12 x_{2}-24 x_{1}-24$; the multilinear polynomial $M[f, V]$ vanishes identically. Thus, the linear space $\mathcal{L}$ is a proper subspace in the two-dimensional space of univariate linear polynomials.

If $n=4$ and $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}=1$. The space $\mathcal{L}$ is spanned by one polynomial $2\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+3$. In the case, the intersection $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ coincides with the Clebsch diagonal surface.

On the other hand, if $n=4, \alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=1$, and a large integer $\alpha_{4} \gg 1$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L} \geq 5$. At the limit $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ the intersection $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ converges to the Fermat surface inside the coordinate hyperplane $x_{4}=0$. The corresponding linear space contains five linearly independent polynomials. Thus, the same holds true for all sufficiently large integers $\alpha_{4}$.

The examples have been computed by means of the service MathPartner [6].
Let us define

$$
\lambda(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1
$$

that is the upper bound on $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}$. In case $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}$, the section $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ contains the point $(-1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Thus, for all $n \geq 4$, if $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ is not a cone, then there exists a rational parametrization $\eta: \mathbb{Q}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, cf. [3]. Let the point $(-1,0, \ldots, 0)$ be the image of the locus of indeterminacy; $\eta$ can be found in probabilistic polynomial time.

If the section $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ contains a point over the field $\mathbb{K}$, then there exists a rational parametrization $\eta: \mathbb{K}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ defined over $\mathbb{K}$. Let the initial $\mathbb{K}$-point be the image of the locus of indeterminacy.

Theorem 2. Given a multiset of positive integers $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$, where $n \geq 4$ and $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ is not a cone, and a real $\varepsilon>0$. Let us consider the multilinear polynomials $M\left[f, \eta\left(P^{(k)}\right)\right]$ for random points $P^{(k)}$, where the index $k$ runs the segment $1 \leq k \leq \lambda(n)$, and all coordinates of the points $P^{(k)}$ are independent and uniformly distributed on the set of integers from one to $\left\lceil 2^{\text {poly }(n)} / \varepsilon\right\rceil$. The probability of spanning the whole linear space $\mathcal{L}$ is at least $1-\varepsilon$.

Proof. It is based on the Schwartz-Zippel lemma [7].

Thus, in case $n \geq 4$ and $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ is not a cone, a basis of the linear space $\mathcal{L}$ can be computed in probabilistic polynomial time. In this way, the algorithm tries to find a rational parametrization $\eta: \mathbb{K}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$; if it failed because $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ is a cone, then there exists a singular point. To prove the instance of the set partition problem has no solution, it is sufficient to check whether a nonzero constant belongs to the linear space $\mathcal{L}$. Of course, the condition is not necessary. On the other hand, if the linear space $\mathcal{L}$ contains a linear polynomial, one can reduce the dimension of the initial task.
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